



Medical Coverage Policy

Effective Date..... 2/15/2018
Next Review Date..... 2/15/2019
Coverage Policy Number 0383

Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation

Table of Contents

Coverage Policy	1
Overview.....	2
General Background	2
Coding/Billing Information	11
References	12

Related Coverage Resources

- [Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder \(ADHD\): Assessment and Treatment](#)
- [Complementary and Alternative Medicine](#)
- [Deep Brain, Motor Cortex and Responsive Cortical Stimulation](#)
- [Electrical Stimulation Therapy and Devices](#)
- [Vagus Nerve Stimulation \(VNS\)](#)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE

The following Coverage Policy applies to health benefit plans administered by Cigna Companies. Certain Cigna Companies and/or lines of business only provide utilization review services to clients and do not make coverage determinations. References to standard benefit plan language and coverage determinations do not apply to those clients. Coverage Policies are intended to provide guidance in interpreting certain standard benefit plans administered by Cigna Companies. Please note, the terms of a customer's particular benefit plan document [Group Service Agreement, Evidence of Coverage, Certificate of Coverage, Summary Plan Description (SPD) or similar plan document] may differ significantly from the standard benefit plans upon which these Coverage Policies are based. For example, a customer's benefit plan document may contain a specific exclusion related to a topic addressed in a Coverage Policy. In the event of a conflict, a customer's benefit plan document always supersedes the information in the Coverage Policies. In the absence of a controlling federal or state coverage mandate, benefits are ultimately determined by the terms of the applicable benefit plan document. Coverage determinations in each specific instance require consideration of 1) the terms of the applicable benefit plan document in effect on the date of service; 2) any applicable laws/regulations; 3) any relevant collateral source materials including Coverage Policies and; 4) the specific facts of the particular situation. Coverage Policies relate exclusively to the administration of health benefit plans. Coverage Policies are not recommendations for treatment and should never be used as treatment guidelines. In certain markets, delegated vendor guidelines may be used to support medical necessity and other coverage determinations.

Coverage Policy

An initial regimen of transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) using an FDA approved device is considered medically necessary when an individual meets ALL of the following criteria:

- age 18 years or older
- diagnosis of major depressive disorder (unipolar), moderate-to-severe, single or recurrent episode or acute relapse, without psychosis, as defined by the most recent edition of Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders
- during the index or current episode of depression ALL of the following criteria are met:
 - a total of at least three trials of antidepressant medications, at adequate therapeutic doses, from at least two different classes of antidepressant medications for at least four weeks and/or documentation that the individual has been unable to complete an adequate medication trial due to intolerance of or medical contraindication to a particular medication or class of medication.
 - no significant reduction in depressive symptoms following pharmacotherapy as documented by validated depression monitoring scales
 - had an adequate trial of an evidence-based psychotherapy known to be effective in the treatment of major depressive disorder, without significant improvement in depressive symptoms, as documented by validated depression monitoring scales

Repeat transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) for a recurrence or an acute relapse of major depressive disorder is considered as medically necessary when BOTH of the following criteria are met:

- all of the above criteria for initial TMS therapy were met prior to the initial course of TMS
- individual had more than a 50% improvement in prior TMS treatments as evidenced by standard rating scale for depressive symptoms

Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) for any other indication, including but not limited to migraine headaches or as a maintenance therapy, it is considered experimental, investigational or unproven.

Overview

This Coverage Policy addresses transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) for the treatment of major depressive disorder and other conditions.

General Background

Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS) for Depression

Standard treatments for major depressive disorder (MDD) include psychotherapy, pharmacotherapy, and/or electroconvulsive therapy (ECT). Although the majority of individuals respond to standard treatments for depression, some do not benefit, or cannot tolerate these interventions. Therefore, alternate treatment options are being investigated, including transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS), vagal nerve stimulation, cranial electrical stimulation and herbal/homeopathic remedies (Miniussi, et al., 2005).

TMS uses brief magnetic field pulses to stimulate nerve cells in the brain. Standard TMS is typically applied with an electromagnetic coil called a figure-of-eight coil (8-coil). Deep TMS can be applied with different types of coils: the H-coil, the C-core coil and the circular crown coil. The only deep TMS coil whose safety and effectiveness has been tested in clinical trials is the H-coil. During the TMS procedure, clinicians place a large electromagnetic coil on the patient's scalp near the forehead. The electromagnetic current repeatedly switches on and off for up to 10 times per second to produce the pulses. To determine the therapeutic magnetic strength, the amount of magnetic energy is adjusted until the motor threshold is reached (i.e., the patient's fingers or hands start to twitch). It has been proposed that the stimulation is intended to alter brain activity in areas responsible for mood. TMS is less invasive than vagal nerve stimulation and is not intended to induce seizures like electroconvulsive therapy (ECT). TMS may cause some short-term side effects such as headache, tingling of facial muscles, scalp discomfort, lightheadedness, or discomfort from the noise that the device makes. Hearing loss and seizures have been reported as uncommon side effects. Symptom relief may not take place for several weeks (Bersani, et al., 2013).

Although the evidence investigating transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) for the treatment of major depressive disorder (MDD) primarily consists of small patient populations and short-term follow-ups, some randomized controlled trials have reported that TMS had better outcomes than sham therapy and in some studies outcomes were reported as good as electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) with fewer side effects. As a result, TMS has become an established treatment option for a carefully selected subset of patients with MDD.

Initial TMS is a treatment option for a patient who is age 18 years or older and has a diagnosis of unipolar, depressive disorder, moderate-to-severe, single or recurrent episode or acute relapse, without psychosis, as defined by the most recent edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM) of Mental Disorders. Potential TMS candidates are those patients who have failed at least three trials of antidepressant medications, at adequate therapeutic doses, including at least two different classes for a period of at least four weeks. The regimen should have included one or more anti-depressant medications. Antidepressant classes include: selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs; e.g., sertraline, fluoxetine), serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs; e.g., desvenlafaxine, duloxetine), tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs; e.g., amitriptyline, nortriptyline, desipramine) and monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs; e.g., isocarboxazid, phenelzine) and may be given in combination regimens. Following pharmacotherapy, TMS candidates are those who demonstrate no significant reduction in depressive symptoms which is documented by results of validated depression monitoring scales (e.g., Patient Health Questionnaire [PHQ-9], Beck Depression Inventory [BDI], Hamilton Depression

Rating Scale [HAM-D], Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale [MADRS], Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology Self-reported [QIDS], Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology Clinician-rated [IDS-SR score]). Adherence to the medication should be documented or it should be documented if the patient has intolerance to the medication or could not take the medication due to medical contraindications (Rachid, 2017; Lyness, 2015; Trivedi, 2015; FDA, 2014).

A major depressive episode as defined in the DSM-5 implies a prominent and relatively persistent (e.g., nearly every day for at least two weeks) depressed or dysphoric mood that represents a change from previous functioning, and includes at least five of the following nine symptoms, one of which is either of the first two symptoms (Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement [ICSI], 2016; Neuronetics, Inc., 2015):

- Depressed mood
- Markedly diminished interest or pleasure in usual activities
- Significant change in weight and/or appetite
- Insomnia or hypersomnia
- Psychomotor agitation or retardation
- Fatigue or loss of energy
- Feelings of worthlessness or excessive or inappropriate guilt
- Slowed thinking or impaired concentration
- Recurrent thoughts of death or suicidal ideation or a suicide attempt

TMS should also be preceded by evidenced-based psychotherapy (e.g., cognitive behavioral psychotherapy, interpersonal psychotherapy, psychodynamic therapy) known to be effective for the treatment of depression. TMS candidates are those who do not show significant improvement on depression monitoring scales following psychotherapy. Adequate therapy may include at least one weekly session for at least 12 weeks. A face-to-face psychiatric evaluation that establishes that the diagnostic criteria are met for major depressive disorder should be performed and documented. An assessment of currently prescribed medications and a medical assessment to evaluate for any medical conditions that might increase the risks associated with TMS and/or the presence of contraindications to TMS are indicated. The patient should be educated regarding potential risks and benefits of the procedure. Because TMS may be associated with an increased risk of a seizure, the benefits of TMS use must be carefully considered against the risk in individuals taking medications which may lower the seizure threshold (Holtzheimer, 2015; Hayes, 2014; reviewed 2017).

A history of a favorable response to TMS in a previous episode of depression with more than a 50% improvement is predictive of a favorable TMS outcome (Holtzheimer, 2015; Lebow, et al., 2015; Hayes, 2014; FDA, 2014; O'Reardon, et al., 2007).

The initial course of TMS typically includes up to 30 visits over a 4–6 week period and may be followed by six tapered treatments over a three week period. Treatment will last for 30–60 minutes, and the entire session may take up to two hours. TMS is administered in an outpatient setting by a Board-certified or Board-eligible psychiatrist who has completed specialized training that results in certification for TMS administration. The procedure does not require anesthesia.

Repeat treatments may be appropriate for acute relapse or recurrence when the patient experienced more than a 50% improvement in the initial TMS regimen as noted by standard rating scales used to measure depressive symptoms (e.g. Patient Health Questionnaire [PHQ-9], Beck Depression Inventory [BDI], Hamilton Depression Rating Scale [HAM-D], Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale [MADRS], Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology Self-reported [QIDS], Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology Clinician-rated [IDS-SR score]) (Holtzheimer, 2015; Fitzgerald, et al., 2013; Mantovan, et al., 2012a; Jacicak, et al., 2010).

Maintenance TMS has been proposed, but maintenance regimens have not been established and reported outcomes are conflicting. One study suggested that clustered TMS maintenance (five sessions over two days, done once a month) prevented relapse better than no maintenance. Another study reported that a single, monthly TMS session showed no advantage over observation only. There is a lack of evidence supporting the long-term, maintenance effects of TMS. Studies are primarily in the form of case series and retrospective

reviews with small patient populations (Philip, et al., 2016; Hayes, 2016; Health Quality Ontario, 2016; Holtzheimer, 2015; Fitzgerald, et al., 2013).

Several variations of administering repetitive TMS to patients with major depression have been studied including: accelerated repetitive TMS, high-dose repetitive TMS, theta-burst repetitive TMS, deep-repetitive TMS, low frequency rTMS (LFrTMS) (1 Hz) and bilateral repetitive TMS (Holtzheimer, 2015). A recent review of the evidence for TMS treatment of depression states that studies are being conducted to test a weak oscillating TMS device that is proposed to not cause seizures and therefore might enable home delivery of TMS for the treatment of schizophrenia and depression (George, et al., 2013). Currently, TMS is not recommended for use in the home nor are the devices FDA approved for in-home use.

While the majority of clinical trials on TMS have evaluated its use in depression, numerous other conditions have also been studied, including, but not limited to: Parkinson's disease, post-traumatic stress disorder, acute ischemic stroke, obsessive-compulsive disorders, schizophrenia, alcohol dependence, tinnitus, migraines, chronic neuropathic pain, and spinal cord injury. There is insufficient published evidence to support the effectiveness of TMS for these other conditions nor are the devices FDA approved for these indications.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS) systems are FDA 510(k) approved as Class II devices. In July 2011, the FDA issued a Class II TMS guidance detailing special controls that should be combined with general controls to ensure safety and effectiveness of rTMS systems for treatment of patients with MDD.

The Neurostar TMS Therapy® System (Neuronetics, Inc., Malvern, PA) was one of the first systems to be approved by the FDA. The System was originally FDA approved in 2008. Labeling was updated and approved in 2013 to comply with the FDA 2011 TMS guidance. In 2014, based upon the outcomes of a randomized controlled trial (n=197) (George, et al., 2010), a new 510(k) approval was issued to “expand the indicated population in major depression to adult patients who have failed to benefit from one or more prior antidepressant medications in the current episode”. The 2016 indications for use state that the “NeuroStar TMS Therapy System is indicated for the treatment of Major Depressive Disorder in adult patients who have failed to receive satisfactory improvement from prior antidepressant medication in the current episode. The FDA’s Neurological Devices Panel reviewed Neuronetics’ research comparing the NeuroStar TMS Therapy System device with electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) and concluded that the research did not establish a risk-to-benefit profile that was comparable to the risk to benefit profile of the predicate device, ECT, because effectiveness had not been demonstrated. The Panel agreed that the safety profile of the device was better than that of ECT devices, but concluded that additional study was necessary to establish the device’s effectiveness (FDA, 2008-2016).

Examples of other approved devices include:

- Brainsway Deep TMS System (Brainsway LTD., Jerusalem, Israel) - intended for the treatment of depressive episodes in adult patients suffering from MDD who failed to achieve satisfactory improvement from previous anti-depressant medication treatment in the current episode
- Rapid Therapy System (Magstim Company, LTD., Philadelphia, PA) - indicated for the treatment of major depressive disorder in adult patients who have failed to achieve satisfactory improvement from prior antidepressant medication in the current episode
- MagVita TMS Therapy System (Tonica, Elekroni A/S, Farnum, Denmark) - indicated for the treatment of major depressive disorder in adult patients who have failed to achieve satisfactory improvement from prior antidepressant medication in the current episode

Literature Review–Depression

Systematic reviews and randomized controlled trials evaluating the safety and efficacy of TMS for treatment-resistant, major depressive disorder in adults have been reported. Studies have compared TMS to electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) (Ren, et al., 2014; Berlim et al., 2013b; Minichino, et al., 2012; Keshtkar, et al., 2011; Hansen, et al., 2011; Mcloughlin, et al., 2007; Eranti, et al., 2007; Rosa, et al., 2006) and TMS to sham (Liu, et al., 2014; Gaynes, et al., 2014; Allan, et al., 2011; Ray, et al., 2011; Pallanti, et al., 2010; Triggs, et al., 2010; Schutter, et al., 2009; Lam, et al., 2008; Mogg, et al., 2008; O’Reardon, et al., 2007; Herwig et al., 2007; Hermann, et al., 2006; Fitzgerald, et al., 2006a; Machii, et al., 2006). Prospective case series have also

investigated TMS as a therapeutic option for treatment-resistant depression (Dunner, et al., 2014; Carpenter, et al., 2012; Mantovani, et al., 2012a; Janicak, et al., 2010; Avery et al., 2008).

Outcome measures varied and included the Clinical Global Impressions-Severity of Illness scale (CGSI), patient reported inventory of Depressive Symptoms Self Report (IDS-SR), 9-Item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9), Clinical Global Impressions-Severity of Illness Scale (CGI-S), Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS), Beck Depression Inventory-II, visual analogue mood scales (VAMS), and Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale. Reduction in depressive symptoms, suicide ideation and remission of depression were reported.

Although there are conflicting results, overall improvement or remission of symptoms of depression and/or suicidal tendencies following TMS were reported, especially when TMS was compared to sham. Other studies reported better outcomes with ECT. However, some studies reported that response and remission rates following TMS were as good as ECT with fewer side effects. TMS adverse events, which were typically mild and transient, included headache and localized discomfort/pain of the scalp during stimulation. In rare cases seizures and psychotic symptoms were reported following TMS. Studies were limited by small patient populations, short-term follow-ups and heterogeneity of treatment regimens. Additional research is needed to define optimal TMS treatment protocols. Peer-reviewed, published studies supporting TMS as a maintenance therapy and as a treatment option for young people less than age 18 years are lacking.

Kedzior et al. (2015) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of sixteen randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to investigate the durability of the antidepressant effect of high frequency rTMS (n=253) compared to sham (n=242). Included studies had a follow-up period during which maintenance rTMS was not administered and all subjects were on anti-depressant medications. Durability was defined as the long-term effect of rTMS (tested during follow-up phases in the absence of maintenance treatment). The aim was to assess a continuous outcome (mean depression ratings on standardized scales) instead of response rates. The antidepressant effect of rTMS during follow-up decreased over time and tended to be lower in RCTs with follow-up phases of 8–16 weeks compared to 1–4 weeks. A higher reduction in depression scores was associated with shorter duration of depression, outpatient status and unipolar, nonpsychotic depression. Author-noted limitations of the meta-analysis included: there were too few RCTs with unmedicated patients to disentangle the role of antidepressants from that of rTMS in reducing depression; lack of blinding of patients and reasons that patients dropped out of studies; most RCTs did not report whether any changes in medication occurred after the acute phases; and the data did not allow comparison of the rates of response, remission, and acceptability of rTMS. The results showed that once achieved, rTMS had acute and longer term antidepressant properties in the absence of maintenance treatment.

Leggett et al. (2015) conducted a systematic review of the literature to evaluate rTMS for treatment-resistant depression in young people, ages 13–25 years. Three prospective cohort studies with small patient populations (n=7–9) met inclusion criteria. Follow-ups ranged from one month to three years. Anxiety levels based on the Screen for Child Anxiety-Related Disorders Questionnaire were significantly lower but no significant difference was reported in the Suicide Ideation Questionnaire. The three-year study was a follow-up of an earlier study and suggested that the subjects did not experience worsening or improvement in depression severity over time without repeat rTMS. The third study reported a decrease in the mean Children's Depression Rating Scale. Meta-analysis was not possible due to the limited data. The limited number and the low quality of the studies restrict the ability to draw generalized conclusions about the use of rTMS in this age group. The rTMS protocols were heterogeneous. Currently, FDA approved TMS devices are only approved for use in adult patients, age 18 years and older.

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ): The 2011 comparative effectiveness review on nonpharmacological interventions for treatment-resistant depression (TRD) in adults concluded that comparative clinical research on nonpharmacologic interventions in a TRD population is early in its infancy, and many clinical questions about efficacy and effectiveness remain unanswered. Interpretation of the data was hindered by varying definitions of TRD and the paucity of relevant studies. The greatest volume of evidence was for ECT and rTMS. However, the strength of the evidence was low for beneficial outcomes. ECT and rTMS did not produce different clinical outcomes in TRD, and ECT produced better outcomes than pharmacotherapy. No trials directly compared the likelihood of maintaining remission for nonpharmacologic interventions. The few trials addressing

adverse events, subpopulations, subtypes, and health-related outcomes provided low or insufficient evidence of differences between nonpharmacologic interventions (Gaynes, et al., 2011).

Technology Assessments

In a 2016 (reviewed 2017) Directory Report on left repetitive high-frequency left transcranial magnetic stimulation (HFL-rTMS) for treatment-resistant depression, Hayes concluded that HFL-rTMS has a modest positive benefit, reducing the symptoms of depression, as a monotherapy and as an add-on therapy. According to Hayes, the quality of the large body of evidence was moderate, including 15 randomized, sham controlled trials (n=30–301). The evidence was insufficient to support HFL-rTMS for maintenance therapy to prevent relapse.

A 2016 (reviewed 2017) Hayes technology brief on low frequency rTMS (LFrTMS) (1 Hz) included seven randomized controlled trials (n=26–170). The studies reported that LFrTMS, in addition to pharmacotherapy, produced antidepressant effects. However, results were mixed suggesting no difference between LFrTMS and sham therapy as an adjuvant therapy to antidepressant treatment. Results also suggested that there was no difference between LFrTMS and HFrTMS as an add-on therapy. The low-quality evidence did not allow definitive conclusions regarding the efficacy of LFrTMS as a monotherapy. The therapies appeared safe with mild adverse events (e.g., scalp discomfort, transitory headaches).

In a 2016 (reviewed 2017), directory report on comparative effectiveness of HFL-rTMS, Hayes reported that there was insufficient evidence to support the use of HFL-rTMS combined with ECT compared to ECT alone for treatment-resistant major depressive disorder. The conclusion was based on ten randomized controlled trials with small patient populations (n=32–121). Various outcome criteria and treatment regimens for rTMS and ECT were used.

Professional Societies/Organizations

The 2010 American Psychiatric Association (APA) Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients with Major Depressive Disorder stated that evidence for TMS is currently insufficient to support its use in the initial treatment of major depressive disorder. Electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) remains the treatment of best established efficacy against which other stimulation treatments (e.g., VNS, deep brain stimulation, TMS, other electromagnetic stimulation therapies) should be compared. A substantial number of studies of TMS have been conducted, but most have had small sample sizes, and the studies overall have yielded heterogeneous results. Further complicating the interpretation of the TMS literature is the variability in stimulation intensities (relative to the motor threshold), stimulus parameters (e.g., pulses/second, pulses/session), anatomical localization of stimulation, and number of TMS sessions in the treatment course. As an initial treatment in the acute phase of major depression the guideline reported that the goal of treatment in the acute phase should be aimed at remission of the major depressive episode and achieving a full return to the patient's baseline level of functioning. Acute phase treatment may include pharmacotherapy, depression-focused psychotherapy, combination therapies (e.g., medications and psychotherapy, or other somatic therapies such as ECT, TMS, or light therapy) (Gelenberg, et al., 2010). There has been no update to this guideline since 2010.

Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation - Other Psychiatric or Neurological Disorders

Literature Review

There have been a number of studies and meta-analyses conducted that explored the efficacy of TMS for a selection of neuropsychiatric-related disorders. Some of the methodological limitations of these studies include small patient populations; short-term follow-ups; variability in technique and outcome measures; and varied diagnostic groups on and off pharmacotherapy. Therefore, the clinical utility and improvement in health outcomes of TMS in the treatment of other psychiatric or neurological disorders have not been clearly established. TMS has not been proven effective in the peer-reviewed published scientific literature for the following indications nor are the devices FDA approved for these conditions.

- addictions (Maiti et al., 2017; Grall-Bronnec and Sauvaget, 2014)
- alcohol dependence (Mishra, et al., 2010)
- Alzheimer disease (Liao, et al., 2015; Ahmed, et al., 2012; Cotelli, et al., 2010)
- amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) (Fang, et al., 2013; Guo, et al., 2011; Di Lazzaro, et al., 2010)
- anorexia nervosa (McClelland, et al., 2016)

- anxiety disorder (Diefenback, et al., 2016)
- attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) (Bloch, et al., 2010)
- auditory hallucinations in schizophrenia (Freitas, et al., 2012; Slotema, et al., 2011; Cordes, et al., 2010; Loo, et al., 2010; Dlabac-de Lange, et al., 2010; Freitas, et al., 2009; Fitzgerald, et al., 2005; Shonefldt-Lecuona, et al., 2004; Hoffman, et al., 2003; Aleman, et al., 2007)
- autism (Sokhadze, et al., 2010)
- blepharospasm (Kranz, et al., 2010; Kahn, et al., 2010)
- bulimic disorders (Van den Eynde, et al., 2010)
- chronic pain (Jin, et al., 2015; Galhardoni, et al., 2015; O'Connell, et al., 2014; Boldt, et al., 2014; Taylor, et al., 2012; O'Connell, et al., 2011; Sampson, et al., 2011; 2010)
- chronic tinnitus (Folmer, et al., 2015; Meng, et al., 2011; Anders, et al., 2010; Lorenz, et al., 2010; Frank, et al., 2010; Marcondes, et al., 2010; Langrebe, et al., 2008; Khedr, et al., 2008; Rossi, et al., 2007; Kleinjung, et al., 2005; De Ridder, et al., 2005; Plewnia, et al., 2003)
- children (Allen, et al., 2017)
- epilepsy (Pereira, et al., 2016; Chen, et al., 2016; Brodbeck, et al., 2010)
- facial pain (Hodaj, et al., 2015)
- fibromyalgia (Saltychev and Laimi, 2017; Knijnik, et al., 2016; Marlow, et al., 2012, 2013)
- focal dystonia (Schneider, et al., 2010)
- Huntington's disease (Medina, et al., 2010)
- obsessive-compulsive disorder (Zhou, et al., 2017; Trevizol, et al., 2016; Berlim, et al., 2013c; Mansur, et al., 2011; Mantovani, et al., 2010; Rodriguez-Martin, et al., 2003; Alonso, et al., 2001)
- panic disorder (Li, et al., 2014; Mantovani, et al., 2012b)
- Parkinson's disease (Chung and Mak, 2016; Wagle, et al., 2016; Chou, et al., 2015; Shirota, et al., 2013; Benninger, et al., 2011; Arias, et al., 2010; Hartelius, et al., 2010; Pal, et al., 2010; Filipović, et al., 2010; Fregni, et al., 2004)
- postherpetic neuralgia (Ma, et al., 2015)
- post-operative pain (Borckardt, et al., 2006; Khedr, et al., 2005)
- post-stroke aphagia (Li, et al., 2015)
- post-stroke dysphagia (Du, et al., 2016)
- post-traumatic stress disorder (Yan, et al., 2017; Trevizon, et al., 2016; Berlim and Eynde, 2014; Karsen, et al., 2014; Boggio, et al., 2010; Cohen, et al., 2004)
- schizophrenia (He, et al., 2017; Wobrock, et al., 2015; Dougall, et al., 2015; Quan, et al., 2015; Bais, et al., 2014; Blumberger, et al., 2010; Matheson, et al., 2010; McNamara, et al., 2001)
- smell and taste dysfunction (Henkin, et al., 2011)
- spinal cord injury (Nardone, et al., 2015; Awad, et al., 2013; Soler, et al., 2010; Kumru, et al., 2010)
- stroke (Graef, et al., 2016; Zheng, et al., 2015; Avenanti, et al., 2012; Corti, et al., 2012; Weiduschat, et al., 2011; Emara, et al., 2010; Takeuchi, et al., 2010; Chang, et al., 2010; Kim, et al., 2010; Khaleel, et al., 2010; Lim, et al., 2010; Khedr, et al., 2009, 2010; Fregni, et al., 2006)
- tic disorders (Wu, et al., 2014; Steeves, et al., 2012; Kwon, et al., 2011)
- tinnitus (Soleimani, et al., 2016)
- Tourette syndrome (Landeros-Weisenberger, et al., 2015)

In a meta-analysis, Slotema et al. (2010) examined if rTMS is effective for various psychiatric disorders. Data were obtained from randomized, sham-controlled studies of rTMS treatment for depression (34 studies, n=751 rTMS and n=632 sham), auditory verbal hallucinations (AVH, seven studies), negative symptoms in schizophrenia (seven studies), and obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD, three studies). Studies included a comparison of rTMS versus electro-convulsive therapy (ECT, six studies) for depression. Standardized mean effect sizes of rTMS versus sham were computed based on pre-treatment versus post-treatment comparisons. The mean weighted effect size of rTMS versus sham for depression was 0.55 (p<0.001). Monotherapy with rTMS was more effective than rTMS as adjunctive to antidepressant medication. ECT was superior to rTMS in the treatment of depression (mean weighted effect size -0.47, p=0.004). In the treatment of AVH, rTMS was superior to sham treatment, with a mean weighted effect size of 0.54 (p<0.001). The mean weighted effect size for rTMS versus sham in the treatment of negative symptoms in schizophrenia was 0.39 (p=0.11) and for OCD, 0.15 (p=0.52). Side effects were mild, yet more prevalent with high-frequency rTMS at frontal locations. The

authors stated that although the efficacy of rTMS in the treatment of depression and AVH may be considered proven, the duration of the effect is as yet unknown. Effect sizes were measured immediately after the cessation of rTMS treatment. There are indications that the effects of rTMS may last for several weeks to months. The authors reported that although rTMS cannot replace ECT in depressive patients, there may be subgroups in which rTMS can replace antidepressant medication.

In evidence-based guidelines for the treatment of tinnitus, the American Academy of Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery Foundation (AAO-HNSF) recommended against the use of TMS for the routine treatment of persistent, bothersome tinnitus. The recommendation was based on inconclusive data from randomized controlled trials (Tunkel, et al., 2014).

Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for Migraine

The Cerena Transcranial Magnetic Stimulator (TMS) (eNeura Therapeutics, Sunnyvale, CA) device is the same device as the Spring TMS™ Total Migraine System marketed by eNeura Therapeutics in Europe. The device is small enough to be placed inside a large purse and can be used in the home or office where a comfortable chair or couch is available to the individual during use. The individual activates the subscriber information module (SIM) chip inside his or her prescription card for the device. The chip works only with the individual's device, and the prescription must be renewed regularly. When the individual experiences the onset of a migraine attack, the individual places the device on a flat surface in the "on" mode, presses the power button, and places the device behind the head at the base of the skull. The device has folding handles, which the individual can hold during treatment. When in place, the individual slides the treatment delivery switches housed in the handles to administer a pulse; a second pulse completes the treatment in less than a minute. The system automatically records the treatment history and is used with a headache diary program on a personal computer. Both the treatment history and headache diary can be uploaded to an online journal on the eNeura Therapeutics website. The device uses single-pulse transcranial magnetic stimulation (sTMS).

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

The Cerena Transcranial Magnetic Stimulator (TMS) (eNeura Therapeutics, Sunnyvale, CA) received FDA 510(k) approval via the de novo premarket review pathway. This is the first approved device proposed to relieve pain caused by migraine headaches that are preceded by an aura: a visual, sensory or motor disturbance immediately preceding the onset of a migraine attack (FDA, 2013). In 2016, eNeura received a Class II FDA 510(k) approval for the sTMS mini device. Per the FDA approval, "the sTMS mini is indicated for the acute treatment of pain associated with migraine headache with aura. The device is designed for patient use where treatments are self-administered and can be delivered in a variety of settings including the home or office". The device is available by prescription only.

Literature Review

There have been limited studies in the peer-reviewed literature exploring the efficacy of TMS for the treatment of pain associated with migraine headache with aura. Some of the methodological limitations of these studies include small sample size, limited follow-up intervals and high dropout rates. Additional randomized controlled trials are needed to determine optimal treatment parameters, including the range of doses and timing of treatment, to confirm the effectiveness and durability of TMS for the treatment of pain associated with migraine headache with aura (Misra, et al., 2012; Brighina, et al., 2004; Teepker, et al., 2010; Clarke, et al., 2006, Brighina, et al., 2004).

The FDA clearance of the Cerena TMS device was based on a single multi-center randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, two-phase, sham-controlled study (Lipton, et al., 2010). Adults aged 18-70 years who met the International Classification Headache Disorders criteria for migraine headache with aura. Phase one of the trial enrolled 267 adults who experienced visual aura preceding at least 30% of migraines followed by moderate or severe headache in more than 90% of those attacks. Participants in phase one were trained to use an electronic diary to verify prospectively the diagnosis of migraine with aura; 66 participants (25%) dropped out after phase one of the trial. In phase two, 201 individuals randomized to either sham stimulation (n=99) or sTMS (n=102) self-applied the device to the back of the head, pressing a button to administer two pulses, each approximately 0.9 Tesla and lasting less than a millisecond, 30 seconds apart. Participants were instructed to treat up to three attacks over three months while experiencing aura. The primary outcome measure was pain-free response two

hours after the first attack. Thirty-seven participants did not treat a migraine attack and were excluded from the outcome analyses. A total of 164 participants treated for at least one attack of migraine with aura with sTMS (n=82) or with sham stimulation (n=82) reported that pain-free response rates two hours after stimulation were significantly higher with sTMS (39%, 32 of 82) than with sham stimulation (22%, 18 of 82; p=0.018). Sustained pain-free response rates with no recurrence and no rescue drug use significantly favored sTMS at 24 hours (29%, [24 of 82] versus 16% [13 of 82]; p=0.0405) and 48 hours (27% [22 of 82] versus 13% [11 of 82]; p=0.0327) after treatment. There were no significant differences in secondary outcomes (headache response at two hours, use of rescue drugs, Migraine Disability Assessment [MIDAS] score and consistency of pain relief response) between groups. The study did not demonstrate that sTMS was effective in relieving the associated symptoms of migraine, including nausea, photophobia, and phonophobia. No device-related serious adverse events were reported. Limitations of this study include the high dropout rate during phase one of the trial (25%, 66 of 267), the potential for unblinding of the device after administration of treatment, and variations in the time intervals from the onset of aura to treatment and pain intensity at the time of treatment. Additional randomized controlled trials are needed to determine optimal treatment parameters, including the range of doses and timing of treatment, to confirm the safety and durability of sTMS for the treatment of pain associated with migraine headache with aura.

In a Prognosis Overview on Cerna, Hayes (2014) concluded that there was insufficient published evidence to draw conclusions regarding the efficacy of this device for the treatment of migraine headaches. The best available study was the Lipton et al, (2010) study discussed above.

Professional Societies/Organizations

The American Academy of Neurology evidence-based practice parameter for the evaluation and treatment of depression, psychosis, and dementia in Parkinson disease (2006) concludes that there is insufficient evidence to support or refute the efficacy of TMS or ECT in the treatment of depression associated with Parkinson disease (Miyasaki, et al., 2006). There has been no update to this practice parameter since 2006.

Diagnostic Navigated Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (nTMS)

Navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation (nTMS) is being investigated as a noninvasive modality to map essential functional motor cortex areas for diagnostic indications and for preoperative treatment planning. It uses electromagnetic pulses to stimulate points of the patient's brain and then records the motor output (if any) on a standard electromyogram. Direct electrical stimulation (DES) is the gold standard for brain mapping and is used intraoperatively but is not used preoperatively. DES cannot be replaced by a noninvasive method due to its unique capability to stimulate subcortical structures accurately and to monitor function during surgery. Preoperative functional brain imaging is used widely in the context of rolandic (the motor area of the cerebral cortex lying just anterior to the central sulcus and comprising part of the precentral gyrus) brain tumor surgeries. The most widely adopted method is functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), but magnetoencephalography (MEG), PET, and electroencephalography have also been used for preoperative mapping (Takahashi, et al., 2013; Pitch, et al., 2012).

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

In 2009, the Nexstim eXimia Navigated Brain Stimulation System (NexStim, North Attleboro, MA) received 510(k) FDA approval. The 510(k) summary indications for use state, "The Nexstim eXimia Navigated Brain Stimulation System (NBS System) is indicated for non-invasive mapping of the primary motor cortex of the brain to its cortical gyrus. The NBS System provides information that may be used in the assessment of the primary motor cortex for pre-procedural planning. The NBS System is not intended to be used during a surgical procedure. The NBS System is intended to be used by trained clinical professionals" (FDA, 2009).

Literature Review-navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation (nTMS)

There is limited evidence at this time to permit conclusions regarding the impact of nTMS testing on health outcomes. Several comparative studies with small sample sizes suggest that nTMS may be useful as a mapping modality of the motor cortex. Studies are primarily in the form of case series with small patient populations and lack a comparator. Additional well-designed clinical studies with larger patient populations are required (Krieg, et al., 2014; Krieg, et al., 2013; Coburger, et al., 2013; Tarapore, et al., 2012; Forster, et al., 2012; Krieg, et al., 2012; Picht, et al., 2012; Frey, et al., 2012; Makela, et al., 2012; Picht, et al., 2011).

Hayes (2017) conducted a systematic review of the literature to evaluate nTMS for mapping of the primary motor cortex to provide information that may be used for preprocedural planning. Eight studies met inclusion criteria including one retrospective review. Although the overall body of evidence suggested that nTMS may be beneficial, a definitive conclusion could not be made due to the poor quality of the evidence. Limitations of the studies included: small, heterogeneous patient populations; retrospective study design; lack of power analysis; difference in sample sizes between groups; short-term follow-ups; various follow-up durations; and limited statistical analyses.

In a systematic review of observational studies, Takahashi et al. (2013) studied the spatial accuracy and clinical utility of nTMS in rolandic brain tumor surgery in or near the motor cortex. Eleven reports in which adult patients were examined with nTMS prior to surgery met the inclusion criteria. For mapping of the motor cortex, most studies used a biphasic TMS pulse (250–280 µsec pulse length) from a figure-eight coil with an outer diameter of 70 mm applied at 110% of the resting motor threshold and a maximum frequency of 0.25 Hz.^{2–5,7–9,12,14–17,20,21} For lower-extremity stimulation the intensity was adapted on an individual basis. Quality criteria consisted of documentation of the influence of nTMS brain mapping on clinical decision making in a standardized prospective manner and/or performance of intraoperative direct electrical stimulation (DES) and comparison with nTMS results. Cross-observational assessment of nTMS accuracy was established by calculating a weighted mean distance between nTMS and DES. All studies reviewed concluded that nTMS correlated well with the “gold standard” of DES. The mean distance between motor cortex identified on nTMS and DES by using the mean distance in 81 patients described in six quantitatively evaluated studies was 6.18 mm. The nTMS results changed the surgical strategy based on anatomical imaging alone in 25.3% of all patients, based on the data obtained in 87 patients in two studies. The nTMS technique spatially correlates well with the gold standard of DES. Its functional information benefits surgical decision making and changes the treatment strategy in one-fourth of cases. The studies included in the review were limited by small sample sizes. The impact of nTMS on the operation was not reported in the majority of the studies.

In a 2016 search and summary report on nTMS, Hayes reported that although there was a moderate amount of published evidence, well-designed, large randomized controlled trials are lacking. A review of the abstracts showed conflicting findings. There was considerable overlap of authorship in the retrieved abstracts, and the majority of the published studies consisted of small patient populations with various diagnosis.

Professional Societies/Organizations

Professional society opinion on this technology is lacking.

Use Outside of the US

Galician Agency for Health Technology Assessment: In the 2014 revised clinical practice guideline on the management of depression, the HTA Working Group stated that TMS is not currently recommended for the treatment of depression due to the uncertainty about its clinical efficacy.

Health Quality Ontario: The Ontario Health Technology Advisory Committee (OHTAC) (2016) conducted a technology assessment on rTMS for treatment-resistant depression. Twenty-three randomized controlled trials comparing rTMS with sham and six comparing rTMS with electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) met inclusion criteria. Repetitive TMS versus sham studies showed a statistically significant improvement in depression scores following rTMS, but follow-ups did not show that the effect continued for long periods of time. Trials comparing rTMS to ECT showed statistically and clinically significant improvements in favor of ECT.

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE): In the 2015 update of the interventional procedural guidance document on repetitive TMS for depression, NICE (United Kingdom) reported that there were no major safety concerns regarding TMS. The evidence on its efficacy in the short-term is adequate, although the clinical response is variable. According to NICE, clinicians should, in particular, inform patients about available treatment options, and make sure patients understand the procedure may not give them benefit. Further evidence on patient selection, details of the precise type and stimulation regime used, long-term outcomes and the use of maintenance treatment is needed.

In January 2014, NICE issued an interventional procedural guidance document on TMS for treating and preventing migraine. The authors reported that the evidence on the efficacy of TMS for the treatment of migraine and prevention of migraine is limited. Evidence on its safety in the short and medium term is adequate but there is uncertainty about the safety of long-term or frequent use of TMS. NICE concluded that the procedure should only be used with special arrangements for clinical governance, consent and audit or research.

The 2016 update of the Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) clinical guidelines for the management of major depressive disorder in adults recommends rTMS as a first-line therapy for patients with MDD who have failed at least one antidepressant. Both high-frequency and low-frequency rTMS have demonstrated efficacy. A limited number of studies have suggested that long-term outcomes appear more favorable with maintenance rTMS, but maintenance schedules have not been established (Milev, et al., 2016).

The 2013 Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists position statement on repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation stated the following under the clinical indications:

- Major depression: rTMS should be offered in psychiatric clinical settings with appropriate protocols, training and equipment to appropriately selected patients with major depression.
- Refractory hallucinations in schizophrenia: rTMS may be offered on a restricted basis to carefully selected patients with schizophrenia who have auditory hallucinations that have not improved with adequate trials of antipsychotic medications. This should only be performed in tertiary referral centers with appropriate expertise.
- Other psychiatric disorders: Until further data are available, rTMS should only be used for the treatment of other psychiatric disorders within a research protocol which has had formal ethical review and approval.

Coding/Billing Information

Note: 1) This list of codes may not be all-inclusive.

2) Deleted codes and codes which are not effective at the time the service is rendered may not be eligible for reimbursement.

Considered Medically Necessary when criteria in the applicable policy statements listed above are met:

CPT®* Codes	Description
90867	Therapeutic repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) treatment; initial, including cortical mapping, motor threshold determination, delivery and management
90868	Therapeutic repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) treatment; subsequent delivery and management, per session
90869	Therapeutic repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) treatment; subsequent motor threshold re-determination with delivery and management

Considered Experimental/Investigational/Unproven when used to report transcranial magnetic stimulation for any other indication, including presurgical mapping:

CPT®* Codes	Description
64999	Unlisted procedure, nervous system
0310T	Motor function mapping using non-invasive navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation (nTMS) for therapeutic treatment planning, upper and lower extremity (Code deleted 12/31/2017)

*Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®) ©2017 American Medical Association: Chicago, IL.

References

1. Ahmed MA, Darwish ES, Khedr EM, El Serogy YM, Ali AM. Effects of low versus high frequencies of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on cognitive function and cortical excitability in Alzheimer's dementia. *J Neurol*. 2012 Jan;259(1):83-92.
2. Aleman A, Sommer IE, Kahn RS. Efficacy of slow repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in the treatment of resistant auditory hallucinations in schizophrenia: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2007 Mar;68(3):416-21.
3. Allan CL, Herrmann LL, Ebmeier KP. Transcranial magnetic stimulation in the management of mood disorders. *Neuropsychobiology*. 2011;64(3):163-9.
4. Allen CH, Kluger BM, Buard I. Safety of Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation in Children: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *Pediatr Neurol*. 2017 Mar;68:3-17.
5. Alonso P, Pujol J, Cardoner N, Benlloch L, Deus J, Menchon JM, et al. Right prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in obsessive-compulsive disorder: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2001 Jul;158(7):1143-5.
6. American Psychiatric Association (APA) Practice Guidelines. Major depressive disorder 2010. Accessed Dec 21, 2017. Archived. Available at URL address: <http://psychiatryonline.org/guidelines.aspx>
7. American Psychiatric Association (APA). Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. Jul 2007. Accessed Dec 21, 2017. Archived. Available at URL address: <http://psychiatryonline.org/guidelines>
8. American Psychiatric Association (APA). Guideline watch (March 2013) practice guideline for the treatment of patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. Mar 2013. Accessed Dec 21, 2017. Archived. Available at URL address: <http://psychiatryonline.org/guidelines>
9. American Psychiatric Association, 2016. Severity and remission in major depressive episode. 2016. Accessed Dec 21, 2017. Available at URL address: <https://psychcentral.com/lib/severity-and-remission-in-major-depressive-episode/>
10. Anders M, Dvorakova J, Rathova L, Havrankova P, Pelcova P, Vaneckova M, et al. Efficacy of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for the treatment of refractory chronic tinnitus: a randomized, placebo controlled study. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett*. 2010;31(2):238-49.
11. Arias P, Vivas J, Grieve KL, Cudeiro J. Double-blind, randomized, placebo controlled trial on the effect of 10 days low-frequency rTMS over the vertex on sleep in Parkinson's disease. *Sleep Med*. 2010 Sep;11(8):759-65.
12. Avenanti A, Coccia M, Ladavas E, Provinciali L, Ceravolo MG. Low-frequency rTMS promotes use-dependent motor plasticity in chronic stroke: a randomized trial. *Neurology*. 2012 Jan 24;78(4):256-64.
13. Avery DH, Isenberg KE, Sampson SM, Janicak PG, Lisanby SH, Maixner DF, et al. Transcranial magnetic stimulation in the acute treatment of major depressive disorder: clinical response in an open-label extension trial. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2008 Mar;69(3):441-51.
14. Avery DH, Holtzheimer PE 3rd, Fawaz W, Russo J, Neumaier J, Dunner DL, et al. A controlled study of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in medication-resistant major depression. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2006 Jan 15;59(2):187-94.

15. Awad BI, Carmody MA, Zhang X, Lin VW, Steinmetz MP. Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation After Spinal Cord Injury. *World Neurosurg*. 2013 Jan 12. pii: S1878-8750(13)00104-6.
16. Bais L, Vercammen A, Stewart R, van Es F, Visser B, Aleman A, Knegtering H. Short and long term effects of left and bilateral repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in schizophrenia patients with auditory verbal hallucinations: a randomized controlled trial. *PLoS One*. 2014 Oct 20;9(10):e108828.
17. Benninger DH, Berman BD, Houdayer E, Pal N, Luckenbaugh DA, Schneider L, et al. Intermittent theta-burst transcranial magnetic stimulation for treatment of Parkinson disease. *Neurology*. 2011 Feb 15;76(7):601-9.
18. Berlim MT, McGirr A, Beaulieu MM, Turecki G. High frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation as an augmenting strategy in severe treatment-resistant major depression: a prospective 4-week naturalistic trial. *J Affect Disord*. 2011 Apr;130(1-2):312-7.
19. Berlim MT, Van Den Eynde F. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation over the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex for treating posttraumatic stress disorder: an exploratory meta-analysis of randomized, double-blind and sham-controlled trials. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2014 Sep;59(9):487-96.
20. Berlim MT, Van den Eynde F, Jeff Daskalakis Z. Clinically meaningful efficacy and acceptability of low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) for treating primary major depression: a meta-analysis of randomized, double-blind and sham-controlled trials. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2013d Mar;38(4):543-51.
21. Berlim MT, Van den Eynde F, Daskalakis ZJ. A systematic review and meta-analysis on the efficacy and acceptability of bilateral repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) for treating major depression. *Psychol Med*. 2013a Nov;43(11):2245-54.
22. Berlim MT, Van den Eynde F, Daskalakis ZJ. Efficacy and acceptability of high frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) versus electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) for major depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Depress Anxiety*. 2013b Jul;30(7):614-23.
23. Berlim MT, Neufeld NH, Van den Eynde F. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) for obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD): an exploratory meta-analysis of randomized and sham-controlled trials. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2013c Aug;47(8):999-1006.
24. Bholá R, Kinsella E, Giffin N, Lipscombe S, Ahmed F, Weatherall M, Goadsby PJ. Single-pulse transcranial magnetic stimulation (sTMS) for the acute treatment of migraine: evaluation of outcome data for the UK post market pilot program. *J Headache Pain*. 2015;16:535.
25. Bloch Y, Harel EV, Aviram S, Govezensky J, Ratzoni G, Levkovitz Y. Positive effects of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on attention in ADHD Subjects: a randomized controlled pilot study. *World J Biol Psychiatry*. 2010 Aug;11(5):755-8.
26. Blumberger DM, Fitzgerald PB, Mulsant BH, Daskalakis ZJ. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for refractory symptoms in schizophrenia. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*. 2010 Mar;23(2):85-90.
27. Boggio PS, Rocha M, Oliveira MO, Fecteau S, Cohen RB, Campanhã C, et al. Noninvasive brain stimulation with high-frequency and low-intensity repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation treatment for posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2010 Aug;71(8):992-9.
28. Boldt I, Eriks-Hoogland I, Brinkhof MWG, de Bie R, Joggi D, von Elm E. Non-pharmacological interventions for chronic pain in people with spinal cord injury. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014, Issue 11. Art. No.: CD009177. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD009177.pub2.

29. Borckardt JJ, Weinstein M, Reeves ST, Kozel FA, Nahas Z, Smith AR, et al. Postoperative left prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation reduces patient-controlled analgesia use. *Anesthesiology*. 2006 Sep;105(3):557-62.
30. Bretlau LG, Lunde M, Lindberg L, Undén M, Dissing S, Bech P. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) in combination with escitalopram in patients with treatment-resistant major depression: a double-blind, randomised, sham-controlled trial. *Pharmacopsychiatry*. 2008 Mar;41(2):41-7.
31. Brighina F, Piazza A, Vitello G, Aloisio A, Palermo A, Daniele O, Fierro B. rTMS of the prefrontal cortex in the treatment of chronic migraine: a pilot study. *J Neurol Sci*. 2004 Dec 15;227(1):67-71
32. Brodbeck V, Thut G, Spinelli L, Romei V, Tyrand R, Michel CM, et al. Effects of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on spike pattern and topography in patients with focal epilepsy. *Brain Topogr*. 2010 Jan;22(4):267-80.
33. Burt T, Lisanby SH, Sackeim HA. Neuropsychiatric applications of transcranial magnetic stimulation: a meta analysis. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2002 Mar;5(1):73-103.
34. Carpenter LL, Janicak PG, Aaronson ST, Boyadjis T, Brock DG, Cook IA, et al. Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) for major depression: a multisite, naturalistic, observational study of acute treatment outcomes in clinical practice. *Depress Anxiety*. 2012 Jul;29(7):587-96. doi: 10.1002/da.21969.
35. Chang WH, Kim YH, Bang OY, Kim ST, Park YH, Lee PK. Long-term effects of rTMS on motor recovery in patients after subacute stroke. *J Rehabil Med*. 2010 Sep;42(8):758-64.
36. Chen R, Spencer DC, Weston J, Nolan SJ. Transcranial magnetic stimulation for the treatment of epilepsy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016 Aug 11;(8):CD011025.
37. Chung CL, Mak MK. Effect of Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation on Physical Function and Motor Signs in Parkinson's Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Brain Stimul*. 2016 Jul-Aug;9(4):475-87.
38. Chou YH, Hickey PT, Sundman M, Song AW, Chen NK. Effects of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on motor symptoms in Parkinson disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Neurol*. 2015 Apr;72(4):432-40.
39. Clarke BM, Upton AR, Kamath MV, Al-Harbi T, Castellanos CM. Transcranial magnetic stimulation for migraine: clinical effects. *J Headache Pain*. 2006 Oct;7(5):341-6.
40. Coburger J, Musahl C, Henkes H, Horvath-Rizea D, Bittl M, Weissbach C, Hopf N. Comparison of navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation and functional magnetic resonance imaging for preoperative mapping in rolandic tumor surgery. *Neurosurg Rev*. 2013 Jan;36(1):65-75; discussion 75-6.
41. Cohen H, Kaplan Z, Kotler M, Kouperman I, Moisa R, Grisaru N. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in posttraumatic stress disorder: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2004 Mar;161(3):515-24.
42. Connolly KR, Helmer A, Cristancho MA, Cristancho P, O'Reardon JP. Effectiveness of transcranial magnetic stimulation in clinical practice post-FDA approval in the United States: results observed with the first 100 consecutive cases of depression at an academic medical center. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2012 Apr;73(4):e567-73. doi: 10.4088/JCP.11m07413.
43. Cordes J, Thünker J, Agelink MW, Arends M, Mobascher A, Wobrock T, et al. Effects of 10 Hz repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) on clinical global impression in chronic schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res*. 2010 May 15;177(1-2):32-6.

44. Corti M, Patten C, Triggs W. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of motor cortex after stroke: a focused review. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2012 Mar;91(3):254-70.
45. Cotelli M, Calabria M, Manenti R, Rosini S, Zanetti O, Cappa SF, et al. Improved language performance in Alzheimer disease following brain stimulation. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2011 Jul;82(7):794-7.
46. Couturier JL. Efficacy of rapid-rate repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in the treatment of depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Psychiatry Neurosci*. 2005 Mar;30(2):83-90.
47. Cristancho MA, Helmer A, Connolly R, Cristancho P, O'Reardon JP. Transcranial magnetic stimulation maintenance as a substitute for maintenance electroconvulsive therapy: a case series. *J ECT*. 2013 Jun;29(2):106-8.
48. Dell'osso B, Camuri G, Castellano F, Vecchi V, Benedetti M, Bortolussi S, Altamura AC. Meta-Review of Metanalytic Studies with Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS) for the Treatment of Major Depression. *Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health*. 2011;7:167-77.
49. De Ridder D, Verstraeten E, Van der Kelen K, De Mulder G, Sunaert S, Verlooy J, et al. Transcranial magnetic stimulation for tinnitus: influence of tinnitus duration on stimulation parameter choice and maximal tinnitus suppression. *Otol Neurotol*. 2005 Jul;26(4):616-9.
50. Di Lazzaro V, Dileone M, Pilato F, Profice P, Cioni B, Meglio M, et al. Long-term motor cortex stimulation for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Brain Stimul*. 2010 Jan;3(1):22-7.
51. Diefenbach GJ, Bragdon LB, Zertuche L, Hyatt CJ, Hallion LS, Tolin DF, Goethe JW, Assaf M2. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for generalised anxiety disorder: a pilot randomised, double-blind, sham-controlled trial. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2016 Sep;209(3):222-8.
52. Dlabac-de Lange JJ, Knegtering R, Aleman A. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for negative symptoms of schizophrenia: review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2010 Apr;71(4):411-8.
53. Dixon L, Perkins D, Calmes C. Guideline Watch (November 2009). Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients with Schizophrenia. Archived. Accessed Dec 21, 2017. Available at URL address: <http://psychiatryonline.org/guidelines>
54. Dougall N, Maayan N, Soares-Weiser K, McDermott LM, McIntosh A. Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) for schizophrenia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2015, Issue 8. Art. No.: CD006081. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006081.pub2.
55. Du J, Yang F, Liu L, Hu J, Cai B, Liu W, Xu G, Liu X. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for rehabilitation of poststroke dysphagia: A randomized, double-blind clinical trial. *Clin Neurophysiol*. 2016 Mar;127(3):1907-13. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2015.11.045.
56. Dunner DL, Aaronson ST, Sackeim HA, Janicak PG, Carpenter LL, Boyadjis T, et al. A multisite, naturalistic, observational study of transcranial magnetic stimulation for patients with pharmacoresistant major depressive disorder: durability of benefit over a 1-year follow-up period. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2014 Sep 16.
57. Emara TH, Moustafa RR, Elnahas NM, Elganzoury AM, Abdo TA, Mohamed SA, et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation at 1Hz and 5Hz produces sustained improvement in motor function and disability after ischaemic stroke. *Eur J Neurol*. 2010 Sep;17(9):1203-9. Epub 2010 Apr 8.
58. Eranti S, Mogg A, Pluck G, Landau S, Purvis R, Brown RG, et al. A randomized, controlled trial with 6-month follow-up of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation and electroconvulsive therapy for severe depression. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2007 Jan;164(1):73-81.

59. Fang J, Zhou M, Yang M, Zhu C, He L. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for the treatment of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis or motor neuron disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2013, Issue 5. Art. No.: CD008554. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008554.pub3.
60. Filipović SR, Rothwell JC, Bhatia K. Slow (1 Hz) repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) induces a sustained change in cortical excitability in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2010 Jul;121(7):1129-37.
61. Fitzgerald PB, Benitez J, de Castella A, Daskalakis ZJ, Brown TL, Kulkarni J. A randomized, controlled trial of sequential bilateral repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2006a Jan;163(1):88-94.
62. Fitzgerald PB, Huntsman S, Gunewardene R, Kulkarni J, Daskalakis ZJ. A randomized trial of low-frequency right-prefrontal-cortex transcranial magnetic stimulation as augmentation in treatment-resistant major depression. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2006b Dec;9(6):655-66.
63. Fitzgerald PB, Benitez J, Daskalakis JZ, Brown TL, Marston NA, de Castella A, et al. A double-blind sham-controlled trial of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in the treatment of refractory auditory hallucinations. *J Clin Psychopharmacol.* 2005 Aug;25(4):358-62.
64. Fitzgerald PB, Brown TL, Marston NA, Daskalakis ZJ, De Castella A, Kulkarni J. Transcranial magnetic stimulation in the treatment of depression: a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2003 Oct;60(10):1002-8.
65. Fitzgerald PB, Grace N, Hoy KE, Bailey M, Daskalakis ZJ. An open label trial of clustered maintenance rTMS for patients with refractory depression. *Brain Stimul.* 2013 May;6(3):292-7.
66. Folmer RL, Theodoroff SM, Casiana L, Shi Y, Griest S, Vachhani J. Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation Treatment for Chronic Tinnitus: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2015 Aug;141(8):716-22.
67. Forster MT, Senft C, Hattingen E, Lorei M, Seifert V, Szelényi A. Motor cortex evaluation by nTMS after surgery of central region tumors: a feasibility study. *Acta Neurochir (Wien).* 2012 Aug;154(8):1351-9.
68. Frank G, Kleinjung T, Landgrebe M, Vielsmeier V, Steffenhagen C, Burger J, et al. Left temporal low-frequency rTMS for the treatment of tinnitus: clinical predictors of treatment outcome--a retrospective study. *Eur J Neurol.* 2010 Jul;17(7):951-6.
69. Fregni F, Boggio PS, Valle AC, Rocha RR, Duarte J, Ferreira MJ, et al. A sham-controlled trial of a 5-day course of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of the unaffected hemisphere in stroke patients. *Stroke.* 2006 Aug;37(8):2115-22.
70. Fregni F, Santos CM, Myczkowski ML, Rigolino R, Gallucci-Neto J, Barbosa ER, et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation is as effective as fluoxetine in the treatment of depression in patients with Parkinson's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 2004 Aug;75(8):1171-4.
71. Freitas C, Fregni F, Pascual-Leone A. Meta-analysis of the effects of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) on negative and positive symptoms in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res.* 2009 Mar;108(1-3):11-24.
72. Freitas C, Pearlman C, Pascual-Leone A. Treatment of auditory verbal hallucinations with transcranial magnetic stimulation in a patient with psychotic major depression: one-year follow-up. *Neurocase.* 2012 Feb;18(1):57-65.

73. Frey D, Strack V, Wiener E, Jussen D, Vajkoczy P, Picht T. A new approach for corticospinal tract reconstruction based on navigated transcranial stimulation and standardized fractional anisotropy values. *Neuroimage*. 2012 Sep;62(3):1600-9.
74. Galhardoni R, Correia GS, Araujo H, Yeng LT, Fernandes DT, Kaziyama HH, Marcolin MA, Bouhassira D, Teixeira MJ, de Andrade DC. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in chronic pain: a review of the literature. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2015 Apr;96(4 Suppl):S156-72.
75. Galician Agency for Health Technology Assessment. Working Group of the Clinical Practice Guideline on the Management of Depression in Adults. Clinical practice guideline on the management of depression in adults. Madrid: Ministry of Health, Social Services and Equality, Galician Health Technology Assessment Agency (HTA) (avalia-t); 2014. Accessed Dec 21, 2017. Available at URL address: <http://www.guideline.gov>
76. Gaynes BN, Lloyd SW, Lux L, Gartlehner G, Hansen RA, Brode S, et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for treatment-resistant depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2014 May;75(5):477-89; quiz 489.
77. Gaynes BN, Lux L, Lloyd S, Hansen RA, Gartlehner G, Thieda P, et al. Nonpharmacologic Interventions for Treatment-Resistant Depression in Adults. Comparative Effectiveness Review No. 33. (Prepared by RTI International-University of North Carolina [RTI-UNC] Evidence-Based Practice Center under Contract No. 290-02-00161.) AHRQ Publication No. 11-EHC056-EF. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. September 23, 2011. Accessed Dec 19, 2017. Available at: <http://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/search-for-guides-reviews-and-reports/?pageaction=displayproduct&productID=1010&PC=mhb>
78. Gelenberg AJ, Freeman MP, Markowitz JC, Rosenbaum JF, Thase ME, Trivedi MH, et al. American Psychiatric Association (APA). Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with major depressive disorder. 3rd Edition. Nov 2010. Accessed Dec 19, 2017. Archived. Available at URL address: <http://psychiatryonline.org/guidelines.aspx>
79. George MS, Lisanby SH, Avery D, McDonald WM, Durkalski V, Pavlicova M, et al. Daily left prefrontal transcranial magnetic stimulation therapy for major depressive disorder: a sham-controlled randomized trial. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2010 May;67(5):507-16.
80. George MS, Taylor JJ, Short EB. The expanding evidence base for rTMS treatment of depression. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*. 2013 Jan;26(1):13-8.
81. Gooch CL, Brannagan TH, Pullman SL, Weimer LH. Electromyography, nerve conduction studies, and magnetic stimulation. In: Rowland LP, editor. *Merritt's Neurology*. 12th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2010. Ch. 16.
82. Graef P, Dadalt MLR, Rodrigués DAMDS, Stein C, Pagnussat AS. Transcranial magnetic stimulation combined with upper-limb training for improving function after stroke: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Neurol Sci*. 2016 Oct 15;369:149-158.
83. Grall-Bronnec M, Sauvaget A. The use of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for modulating craving and addictive behaviours: a critical literature review of efficacy, technical and methodological considerations. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2014 Nov;47:592-613.
84. Gross M, Nakamura L, Pascual-Leone A, Fregni F. Has repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) treatment for depression improved? A systematic review and meta-analysis comparing the recent vs. the earlier rTMS studies. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2007 Sep;116(3):165-73.

85. Grunhaus L, Schreiber S, Dolberg OT, Polak D, Dannon PN. A randomized controlled comparison of electroconvulsive therapy and repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in severe and resistant nonpsychotic major depression. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2003 Feb 15;53(4):324-31.
86. Grunhaus L, Dannon PN, Schreiber S, Dolberg OH, Amiaz R, Ziv R, Lefkifker E. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation is as effective as electroconvulsive therapy in the treatment of nondelusional major depressive disorder: an open study. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2000 Feb 15;47(4):314-24.
87. Guo J, Zhou M, Yang M, Zhu C, He L. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for the treatment of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis or motor neuron disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2011 Sep 7;9:CD008554.
88. Hadley D, Anderson BS, Borckardt JJ, Arana A, Li X, Nahas Z, et al. Safety, tolerability, and effectiveness of high doses of adjunctive daily left prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for treatment-resistant depression in a clinical setting. *J ECT*. 2011 Mar;27(1):18-25.
89. Hansen PE, Ravnkilde B, Videbech P, Clemmensen K, Sturlason R, Reiner M, et al. Low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation inferior to electroconvulsive therapy in treating depression. *J ECT*. 2011 Mar;27(1):26-32.
90. Harel EV, Rabany L, Deutsch L, Bloch Y, Zangen A, Levkovitz Y. H-coil repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for treatment resistant major depressive disorder: An 18-week continuation safety and feasibility study. *World J Biol Psychiatry*. 2014 May;15(4):298-306.
91. Hartelius L, Svantesson P, Hedlund A, Holmberg B, Revesz D, Thorlin T. Short-term effects of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on speech and voice in individuals with Parkinson's disease. *Folia Phoniatr Logop*. 2010;62(3):104-9.
92. Hausmann A, Kemmler G, Walpoth M, Mechtcheriakov S, Kramer-Reinstadler KK, Lechner T et al. No benefit derived from repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in depression: a prospective, single center, randomized, double blind, sham controlled "add on" trial. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2004;75:320-2.
93. Hayes, Inc. Hayes Directory Report. High frequency right repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for treatment-resistant major depressive disorder. Lansdale, PA: Hayes, Inc.; 2016, Nov. Reviewed Oct 23, 2017.
94. Hayes, Inc. Hayes Directory Report. Comparative effectiveness review of high-frequency left repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation versus other neurostimulation approaches to treatment-resistant depression. Lansdale, PA: Hayes, Inc.; 2016, Dec. Reviewed Nov 21, 2017.
95. Hayes, Inc. Hayes Directory Report. Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) for treatment-resistant depression. Lansdale, PA: Hayes, Inc.; 2014 Mar 19; reviewed 2015 Mar.
96. Hayes, Inc. Hayes Directory Report. Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) to enhance pharmacotherapy for depression. Lansdale, PA: Hayes, Inc.; 2014 Mar 19; reviewed Apr 2015; Feb 2016.
97. Hayes, Inc. Hayes Prognosis Overview. Cerana single-pulse transcranial magnetic stimulator. Lansdale, PA: Hayes, Inc.; 2014 Oct. Archived
98. Hayes, Inc. Search and summary. Navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation for pre-surgical planning. Lansdale, PA: Hayes, Inc.; Oct 2013.

99. Hayes, Inc. Hayes Technology Brief. Low frequency right repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for treatment-resistant major depressive disorder. Lansdale, PA: Hayes, Inc.; 2016, Sept .Reviewed Sept 11, 2017.
100. Hayes, Inc. Hayes Technology Brief. The clinical utility of navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation for presurgical planning for brain tumors. Lansdale, PA: Hayes, Inc.; May 18, 2017.
101. He H, Lu J, Yang L, Zheng J, Gao F, Zhai Y, Feng J, Fan Y, Ma X. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for treating the symptoms of schizophrenia: A PRISMA compliant meta-analysis. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2017 May;128(5):716-724.
102. Henkin RI, Potolicchio SJ Jr, Levy LM. Improvement in smell and taste dysfunction after repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Am J Otolaryngol.* 2011 Jan-Feb;32(1):38-46.
103. Herwig U, Fallgatter AJ, Höppner J, Eschweiler GW, Kron M, Hajak G, et al. Antidepressant effects of augmentative transcranial magnetic stimulation: randomised multicentre trial. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2007 Nov;191:441-8.
104. Hodaj H, Alibeu JP, Payen JF, Lefaucheur JP. Treatment of chronic facial pain including cluster headache by repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of the motor cortex with maintenance sessions: a naturalistic study. *Brain Stimul.* 2015 Jul-Aug;8(4):801-7.
105. Hoffman RE, Hawkins KA, Guorguieva R, Boutros NN, Rachid F, Carroll K et al. Transcranial magnetic stimulation of left temporoparietal cortex and medication-resistant auditory hallucinations. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2003 Jan;60:49-56.
106. Holtzheimer PE. Unipolar depression in adults: Treatment with transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS). Last updated Aug 13, 2015. In: UpToDate, Post TW (Ed), UpToDate, Waltham, MA.
107. Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement. Depression, Adult in primary care. 17th Edition. Mar 2016. Accessed Dec 21, 2017. Available at: https://www.icsi.org/guidelines__more/catalog_guidelines_and_more/catalog_guidelines/catalog_behavioral_health_guidelines/depression/
108. Janicak PG, Dunner DL, Aaronson ST, Carpenter LL, Boyadjis TA, Brock DG, et al. Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) for major depression: a multisite, naturalistic, observational study of quality of life outcome measures in clinical practice. *CNS Spectr.* 2013 Dec;18(6):322-32.
109. Janicak PG, Nahas Z, Lisanby SH, Solvason HB, Sampson SM, McDonald WM, et al. Durability of clinical benefit with transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) in the treatment of pharmacoresistant major depression: assessment of relapse during a 6-month, multisite, open-label study. *Brain Stimul.* 2010 Oct;3(4):187-99.
110. Janicak PG, Dowd SM, Martis B, Alam D, Beedle D, Krasuski J, et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation versus electroconvulsive therapy for major depression: preliminary results of a randomized trial. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2002; 51:659-67.
111. Janicak PG, O'Reardon JP, Sampson SM, Husain MM, Lisanby SH, Rado JT, et al. Transcranial magnetic stimulation in the treatment of major depressive disorder: a comprehensive summary of safety experience from acute exposure, extended exposure, and during reintroduction treatment. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2008 Feb;69(2):222-32.
112. Jin Y, Xing G, Li G, Wang A, Feng S, Tang Q, Liao X, Guo Z, McClure MA, Mu Q. High Frequency Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation Therapy For Chronic Neuropathic Pain: A Meta-analysis. *Pain Physician.* 2015 Nov;18(6):E1029-46.

113. Karsen EF, Watts BV, Holtzheimer PE. Review of the effectiveness of transcranial magnetic stimulation for post-traumatic stress disorder. *Brain Stimul.* 2014 Mar-Apr;7(2):151-7.
114. Kedzior KK, Reitz SK, Azorina V, Loo C. Durability of the antidepressant effect of the high-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) in the absence of maintenance treatment in major depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 16 double-blind, randomized, sham-controlled trials. *Depress Anxiety.* 2015 Mar;32(3):193-203.
115. Kennedy SH, Milev R, Giacobbe P, Ramasubbu R, Lam RW, Parikh SV, et al. Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT). Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) Clinical guidelines for the management of major depressive disorder in adults. IV. Neurostimulation therapies. *J Affect Disord.* 2009 Oct;117 Suppl 1:S44-53.
116. Keshtkar M, Ghanizadeh A, Firoozabadi A. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation versus electroconvulsive therapy for the treatment of major depressive disorder, a randomized controlled clinical trial. *J ECT.* 2011 Dec;27(4):310-4.
117. Khaleel SH, Bayoumy IM, El-Nabil LM, Moustafa RR. Differential hemodynamic response to repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in acute stroke patients with cortical versus subcortical infarcts. *Eur Neurol.* 2010;63(6):337-42.
118. Khedr EM, Abo-Elfetoh N. Therapeutic role of rTMS on recovery of dysphagia in patients with lateral medullary syndrome and brainstem infarction. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 2010 May;81(5):495-9.
119. Khedr EM, Ahmed MA, Fathy N, Rothwell JC. Therapeutic trial of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation after acute ischemic stroke. *Neurology.* 2005 Aug 9;65(3):466-8.
120. Khedr EM, Rothwell JC, Ahmed MA, El-Atar A. Effect of daily repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for treatment of tinnitus: comparison of different stimulus frequencies. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 2008 Feb;79(2):212-5.
121. Khedr EM, Etraby AE, Hemeda M, Nasef AM, Razek AA. Long-term effect of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on motor function recovery after acute ischemic stroke. *Acta Neurol Scand.* 2010 Jan;121(1):30-7.
122. Khedr EM, Abo-Elfetoh N, Rothwell JC. Treatment of post-stroke dysphagia with repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Acta Neurol Scand.* 2009 Mar;119(3):155-61.
123. Kim BR, Kim DY, Chun MH, Yi JH, Kwon JS. Effect of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on cognition and mood in stroke patients: a double-blind, sham-controlled trial. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2010 May;89(5):362-8.
124. Kleinjung T, Eichhammer P, Langguth B, Jacob P, Marienhagen J, Hajak G, et al. Long-term effects of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) in patients with chronic tinnitus. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2005 Apr;132(4):566-9.
125. Knijnik LM, Dussán-Sarria JA, Rozisky JR, Torres IL, Brunoni AR, Fregni F, Caumo W. Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for Fibromyalgia: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Pain Pract.* 2016 Mar;16(3):294-304.
126. Kozel FA, George MS. Meta-analysis of left prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) to treat depression. *J Psychiatr Pract.* 2002 Sep;8(5):270-5.
127. Kranz G, Shamim EA, Lin PT, Kranz GS, Hallett M. Transcranial magnetic brain stimulation modulates blepharospasm: a randomized controlled study. *Neurology.* 2010 Oct 19;75(16):1465-71.

128. Krieg SM, Shiban E, Buchmann N, Gempt J, Foerschler A, Meyer B, Ringel F. Utility of presurgical navigated transcranial magnetic brain stimulation for the resection of tumors in eloquent motor areas. *J Neurosurg*. 2012 May;116(5):994-1001.
129. Krieg SM, Shiban E, Buchmann N, Meyer B, Ringel F. Presurgical navigated transcranial magnetic brain stimulation for recurrent gliomas in motor eloquent areas. *Clin Neurophysiol*. 2013 Mar;124(3):522-7.
130. Krieg SM, Sabih J, Bulubasova L, Obermueller T, Negwer C, Janssen I, Shiban E, Meyer B, Ringel F. Preoperative motor mapping by navigated transcranial magnetic brain stimulation improves outcome for motor eloquent lesions. *Neuro Oncol*. 2014 Sep;16(9):1274-82.
131. Krieg SM, Sollmann N, Obermueller T, Sabih J, Bulubas L, Negwer C, Moser T, Droese D, Boeckh-Behrens T, Ringel F, Meyer B. Changing the clinical course of glioma patients by preoperative motor mapping with navigated transcranial magnetic brain stimulation. *BMC Cancer*. 2015 Apr 8;15:231.
132. Kumru H, Murillo N, Samsó JV, Valls-Sole J, Edwards D, Pelayo R, et al. Reduction of spasticity with repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in patients with spinal cord injury. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair*. 2010 Jun;24(5):435-41.
133. Kwon HJ, Lim WS, Lim MH, Lee SJ, Hyun JK, Chae JH, et al. 1-Hz low frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in children with Tourette's syndrome. *Neurosci Lett*. 2011 Mar 29;492(1):1-4.
134. Lam RW, Chan P, Wilkins-Ho M, Yatham LN. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for treatment-resistant depression: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2008 Sep;53(9):621-31.
135. Landeros-Weisenberger A, Mantovani A, Motlagh MG, de Alvarenga PG, Katsovich L, Leckman JF, Lisanby SH. Randomized Sham Controlled Double-blind Trial of Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for Adults With Severe Tourette Syndrome. *Brain Stimul*. 2015 May-Jun;8(3):574-81. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2014.11.015.
136. Landgrebe M, Binder H, Koller M, Eberl Y, Kleinjung T, Eichhammer P, et al. Design of a placebo-controlled, randomized study of the efficacy of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for the treatment of chronic tinnitus. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2008 Apr 15;8:23.
137. Lebow J. Overview of psychotherapies. Updated Oct 9, 2015. In: UpToDate, Post TW (Ed), UpToDate, Waltham, MA.
138. Leggett LE, Soril LJ, Coward S, Lorenzetti DL, MacKean G, Clement FM. Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for Treatment-Resistant Depression in Adult and Youth Populations: A Systematic Literature Review and Meta-Analysis. *Prim Care Companion CNS Disord*. 2015 Nov 5;17(6).
139. Lehman AF, Lieberman JA, Dixon LB, McGlashan TH, Miller AL, Perkins DO, Kreyenbuhl J; American Psychiatric Association; Steering Committee on Practice Guidelines. Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with schizophrenia. 2004. Archived. Accessed Dec 12, 2017. Available at URL address: <http://psychiatryonline.org/guidelines>
140. Li H, Wang J, Li C, Xiao Z. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) for panic disorder in adults. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014, Issue 9. Art. No.: CD009083. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD009083.pub2.
141. Li Y, Qu Y, Yuan M, Du T. Low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for patients with aphasia after stroke: A meta-analysis. *J Rehabil Med*. 2015 Sep;47(8):675-81.

142. Liao X, Li G, Wang A, Liu T, Feng S, Guo Z, Tang Q, Jin Y, Xing G, McClure MA, Chen H, He B, Liu H, Mu Q. Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation as an Alternative Therapy for Cognitive Impairment in Alzheimer's Disease: A Meta-Analysis. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2015;48(2):463-72.
143. Lim JY, Kang EK, Paik NJ. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation to hemispatial neglect in patients after stroke: an open-label pilot study. *J Rehabil Med.* 2010 May;42(5):447-52.
144. Lipton RB, Dodick DW, Silberstein SD, Saper JR, Aurora SK, Pearlman SH, et al. Single-pulse transcranial magnetic stimulation for acute treatment of migraine with aura: a randomised, double-blind, parallel-group, sham-controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol.* 2010 Apr;9(4):373-80.
145. Lisanby SH, Husain MM, Rosenquist PB, Maixner D, Gutierrez R, Krystal A, et al. Daily left prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in the acute treatment of major depression: clinical predictors of outcome in a multisite, randomized controlled clinical trial. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2009 Jan;34(2):522-34.
146. Liu B, Zhang Y, Zhang L, Li L. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation as an augmentative strategy for treatment-resistant depression, a meta-analysis of randomized, double-blind and sham-controlled study. *BMC Psychiatry.* 2014 Nov 30;14:342.
147. Loo CK, Mitchell PB, McFarquhar TF, Malhi GS, Sachdev PS. A sham-controlled trial of the efficacy and safety of twice-daily rTMS in major depression. *Psychol Med.* 2007 Mar;37(3):341-9.
148. Loo CK, Mitchell PB, Croker VM, Malhi GS, Wen W, Gandevia SC, Sachdev PS. Double-blind controlled investigation of bilateral prefrontal transcranial magnetic stimulation for the treatment of resistant major depression. *Psychol Med.* 2003 Jan;33(1):33-40.
149. Loo CK, Sainsbury K, Mitchell P, Hadzi-Pavlovic D, Sachdev PS. A sham-controlled trial of left and right temporal rTMS for the treatment of auditory hallucinations. *Psychol Med.* 2010 Apr;40(4):541-6.
150. Lorenz I, Müller N, Schlee W, Langguth B, Weisz N. Short-term effects of single repetitive TMS sessions on auditory evoked activity in patients with chronic tinnitus. *J Neurophysiol.* 2010 Sep;104(3):1497-505.
151. Lyness J. Unipolar minor depression in adults: management and treatment. Last updated Nov 23, 2015. In: UpToDate, Post TW (Ed), UpToDate, Waltham, MA.
152. Ma SM, Ni JX, Li XY, Yang LQ, Guo YN, Tang YZ. High-Frequency Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation Reduces Pain in Postherpetic Neuralgia. *Pain Med.* 2015 Nov;16(11):2162-70.
153. Maiti R, Mishra BR, Hota D. Effect of High-Frequency Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation on Craving in Substance Use Disorder: A Meta-Analysis. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2017 Spring;29(2):160-171.
154. McDonald WM, Durkalski V, Ball ER, Holtzheimer PE, Pavlicova M, Lisanby SH, et al. Improving the antidepressant efficacy of transcranial magnetic stimulation: maximizing the number of stimulations and treatment location in treatment-resistant depression. *Depress Anxiety.* 2011 Nov;28(11):973-80. doi: 10.1002/da.20885.
155. McLoughlin DM, Mogg A, Eranti S, Pluck G, Purvis R, Edwards D, et al. The clinical effectiveness and cost of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation versus electroconvulsive therapy in severe depression: a multicentre pragmatic randomised controlled trial and economic analysis. *Health Technol Assess.* 2007 Jul;11(24):1-54.
156. McNamara B, Ray JL, Arthurs OJ, Boniface S. Transcranial magnetic stimulation for depression and other psychiatric disorders. *Psychol Med.* 2001;31(7):1141-6.

157. Machii K, Cohen D, Ramos-Estebanez C, Pascual-Leone A. Safety of rTMS to non-motor cortical areas in healthy participants and patients. *Clin Neurophysiol*. 2006 Feb;117(2):455-71.
158. Mäkelä JP, Vitikainen AM, Lioumis P, Paetau R, Ahtola E, Kuusela L, et al. Functional plasticity of the motor cortical structures demonstrated by navigated TMS in two patients with epilepsy. *Brain Stimul*. 2013 May;6(3):286-91.
159. Mansur CG, Myczkowki ML, de Barros Cabral S, Sartorelli Mdo C, Bellini BB, et al. Placebo effect after prefrontal magnetic stimulation in the treatment of resistant obsessive-compulsive disorder: a randomized controlled trial. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2011 Nov;14(10):1389-97.
160. Mantovani A, Aly M, Dagan Y, Allart A, Lisanby SH. Randomized sham controlled trial of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation to the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex for the treatment of panic disorder with comorbid major depression. *J Affect Disord*. 2012b Aug 1.
161. Mantovani A, Pavlicova M, Avery D, Nahas Z, McDonald WM, Wajdik CD, et al. Long-term efficacy of repeated daily prefrontal transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) in treatment-resistant depression. *Depress Anxiety*. 2012a Oct;29(10):883-90. doi: 10.1002/da.21967.
162. Mantovani A, Simpson HB, Fallon BA, Rossi S, Lisanby SH. Randomized sham-controlled trial of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in treatment-resistant obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2010 Mar;13(2):217-27.
163. Marcondes RA, Sanchez TG, Kii MA, Ono CR, Buchpiguel CA, Langguth B, et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation improve tinnitus in normal hearing patients: a double-blind controlled, clinical and neuroimaging outcome study. *Eur J Neurol*. 2010 Jan;17(1):38-44.
164. Marlow NM, Bonilha HS, Short EB. Efficacy of Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation and Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for Treating Fibromyalgia Syndrome: A Systematic Review. *Pain Pract*. 2012 May 28.
165. Marlow NM, Bonilha HS, Short EB. Efficacy of transcranial direct current stimulation and repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for treating fibromyalgia syndrome: a systematic review. *Pain Pract*. 2013 Feb;13(2):131-45
166. Martin JLR, Barbanoj MJ, Schaelpfer TE, Thompson E, Pérez V, Kulisevsky J. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for the treatment of depression. Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2003b;182:480-91.
167. Matheson SL, Green MJ, Loo C, Carr VJ. Quality assessment and comparison of evidence for electroconvulsive therapy and repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for schizophrenia: a systematic meta-review. *Schizophr Res*. 2010 May;118(1-3):201-10.
168. McClelland J, Kekic M, Bozhilova N, Nestler S, Dew T, Van den Eynde F, David AS, Rubia K1, Campbell IC, Schmidt U. A Randomised Controlled Trial of Neuronavigated Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS) in Anorexia Nervosa. *PLoS One*. 2016 Mar 23;11(3):e0148606.
169. Medina FJ, Túnez I. Huntington's disease: the value of transcranial meganetic stimulation. *Curr Med Chem*. 2010;17(23):2482-91.
170. Meng Z, Liu S, Zheng Y, Phillips JS. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for tinnitus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2011 Oct 5;(10):CD007946.
171. Milev RV, Giacobbe P, Kennedy SH, Blumberger DM, Daskalakis ZJ, Downar J, Modirrousta M, Patry S, Vila-Rodriguez F, Lam RW, MacQueen GM, Parikh SV, Ravindran AV; CANMAT Depression Work Group. Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) 2016 Clinical Guidelines for the

Management of Adults with Major Depressive Disorder: Section 4. Neurostimulation Treatments. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2016 Sep;61(9):561-75.

172. Minichino A, Bersani FS, Capra E, Pannese R, Bonanno C, Salviati M, et al. ECT, rTMS, and deepTMS in pharmacoresistant drug-free patients with unipolar depression: a comparative review. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2012;8:55-64.
173. Miniussi C, Bonato C, Bignotti S, Gazzoli A, Gennarelli M, Pasqualetti P, et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) at high and low frequency: an efficacious therapy for major drug-resistant depression? *Clin Neurophysiol*. 2005 May;116(5):1062-71.
174. Mishra BR, Nizamie SH, Das B, Praharaj SK. Efficacy of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in alcohol dependence: a sham-controlled study. *Addiction*. 2010 Jan;105(1):49-55.
175. Misra UK, Kalita J, Bhoi SK. High frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) is effective in migraine prophylaxis: an open labeled study. *Neurol Res*. 2012 Jul;34(6):547-51.
176. Miyasaki JM, Shannon K, Voon V, Ravina B, Kleiner-Fisman G, Anderson K, et al.; Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Practice Parameter: evaluation and treatment of depression, psychosis, and dementia in Parkinson disease (an evidence-based review): report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology*. 2006 Apr 11;66(7):996-1002. Accessed Dec 21, 2017. Available at URL address: <http://n.neurology.org/content/66/7/996.full>
177. Mogg A, Pluck G, Eranti SV, Landau S, Purvis R, Brown RG, et al. A randomized controlled trial with 4-month follow-up of adjunctive repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of the left prefrontal cortex for depression. *Psychol Med*. 2008 Mar;38(3):323-33.
178. Nardone R, Höller Y, Brigo F, Orioli A, Tezzon F, Schwenker K, Christova M, Golaszewski S, Trinka E. Descending motor pathways and cortical physiology after spinal cord injury assessed by transcranial magnetic stimulation: a systematic review. *Brain Res*. 2015 Sep 4;1619:139-54.
179. National Institute for Excellence (NICE). Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for depression. Interventional procedure guidance (IPG) Number: 542: IPG542. Dec 2015. Accessed Dec 19, 2017. Available at URL address: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg542>
180. National Institute for Excellence (NICE). Transcranial magnetic stimulation for preventing and treating migraine. Interventional Procedure (IP) Number: 346 IP Guidance Number: IPG477. January 2014. Accessed Dec 19, 2017. Available at URL address: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg477>
181. Neuronetics Inc. NeuroStar TMS Therapy®. Prescribing Information. Accessed Dec 21, 2017. Available at URL address: <https://neurostar.com/neurostar-tms-depression-treatment/>
182. New England Comparative Effectiveness Public Advisory Council (CEPAC). Coverage Policy Analysis: Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS). Completed by the Institute for Clinical and Economic Review (ICER). June 2012. Accessed Dec 19, 2017. Available at URL address: <http://icer-review.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/04/rTMS-Coverage-Policy-Analysis.pdf>
183. New England Comparative Effectiveness Public Advisory Council (CEPAC). Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation: Supplementary Data and Analyses to the Comparative Effectiveness Review of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Dec, 2011. Accessed Dec 19, 2017. Available at URL address: <http://icer-review.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/04/rTMS-Coverage-Policy-Analysis.pdf>
184. O'Connell NE, Wand BM, Marston L, Spencer S, DeSouza LH. Non-invasive brain stimulation techniques for chronic pain. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD008208. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008208.pub3.

185. O'Connell NE, Wand BM, Marston L, Spencer S, Desouza LH. Non-invasive brain stimulation techniques for chronic pain. A report of a Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med*. 2011 Jun;47(2):309-26.
186. Ontario Health Technology Advisory Committee (OHTAC). Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for treatment-resistant depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Ont Health Technol Assess Ser* [Internet]. 2016 March;16(5):1-66. Accessed Dec 19, 2017. Available from: <http://www.hqontario.ca/Evidence-to-Improve-Care/Health-Technology-Assessment/Reviews-And-Recommendations/Repetitive-Transcranial-Magnetic-Stimulation-for-Treatment-Resistant-Depression>
187. O'Reardon JP, Solvason HB, Janicak PG, Sampson S, Isenberg KE, Nahas Z, et al. Efficacy and safety of transcranial magnetic stimulation in the acute treatment of major depression: a multisite randomized controlled trial. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2007 Dec 1;62(11):1208-16.
188. Pal E, Nagy F, Aschermann Z, Balazs E, Kovacs N. The impact of left prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on depression in Parkinson's disease: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Mov Disord*. 2010 Oct 30;25(14):2311-7.
189. Pallanti S, Bernardi S, Di Rollo A, Antonini S, Quercioli L. Unilateral low frequency versus sequential bilateral repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation: is simpler better for treatment of resistant depression? *Neuroscience*. 2010 May 5;167(2):323-8.
190. Paykel ES. Partial remission, residual symptoms, and relapse in depression. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci*. 2008 Dec; 10(4): 431–437.
191. Pereira LS, Müller VT, da Mota Gomes M, Rotenberg A, Fregni F. Safety of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in patients with epilepsy: A systematic review. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2016 Apr;57(Pt A):167-76.
192. Philip NS, Dunner D, Dowd S, et al. Can Medication Free, Treatment-Resistant, Depressed Patients Who Initially Respond to TMS Be Maintained Off Medications? A Prospective, 12-Month Multisite Randomized Pilot Study. *Brain Stimulation*. 2016;9(2):251-7.
193. Picarelli H, Teixeira MJ, de Andrade DC, Myczkowski ML, Luvisotto TB, Yeng LT, et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation is efficacious as an add-on to pharmacological therapy in complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) type I. *J Pain*. 2010 Nov;11(11):1203-10.
194. Picht T, Schmidt S, Brandt S, Frey D, Hannula H, Neuvonen T, et al. Preoperative functional mapping for rolandic brain tumor surgery: comparison of navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation to direct cortical stimulation. *Neurosurgery*. 2011 Sep;69(3):581-8; discussion 588.
195. Picht T, Schulz J, Hanna M, Schmidt S, Suess O, Vajkoczy P. Assessment of the influence of navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation on surgical planning for tumors in or near the motor cortex. *Neurosurgery*. 2012 May;70(5):1248-56; discussion 1256-7.
196. Picht T, Krieg SM, Sollmann N, Rösler J, Niraula B, Neuvonen T, et al. A comparison of language mapping by preoperative navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation and direct cortical stimulation during awake surgery. *Neurosurgery*. 2013 May;72(5):808-19.
197. Plewnia S, Bartels M, Gerloff C. Transient suppression of tinnitus by transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Ann Neurol*. 2003 Feb;53(2):263-6.

198. Plewnia C, Pasqualetti P, Große S, Schlipf S, Wasserka B, Zwissler B, Fallgatter A. Treatment of major depression with bilateral theta burst stimulation: a randomized controlled pilot trial. *J Affect Disord*. 2014 Mar;156:219-23.
199. Prasser J, Schecklmann M, Poepl TB, Frank E, Kreuzer PM, Hajak G, et al. Bilateral prefrontal rTMS and theta burst TMS as an add-on treatment for depression: A randomized placebo controlled trial. *World J Biol Psychiatry*. 2014 Nov 28:1-9.
200. Pridmore S, Bruno R, Turnier-Shea Y, Reid P, Rybak M. Comparison of unlimited numbers of rapid transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) and ECT treatment sessions in major depressive episode. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2000 Jun;3(2):129-134.
201. Quan WX, Zhu XL, Qiao H, Zhang WF, Tan SP, Zhou DF, Wang XQ. The effects of high-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) on negative symptoms of schizophrenia and the follow-up study. *Neurosci Lett*. 2015 Jan 1;584:197-201.
202. Rachid F. Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation and Treatment-emergent Mania and Hypomania: A Review of the Literature. *J Psychiatr Pract*. 2017 Mar;23(2):150-159.
203. Ray S, Nizamie SH, Akhtar S, Prahara SK, Mishra BR, Zia-ul-Haq M. Efficacy of adjunctive high frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of left prefrontal cortex in depression: a randomized sham controlled study. *J Affect Disord*. 2011 Jan;128(1-2):153-9.
204. Ren J, Li H, Palaniyappan L, Liu H, Wang J, Li C, Rossini PM. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation versus electroconvulsive therapy for major depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 2014 Jun 3;51:181-9.
205. Rowny SB, Lisanby SH. Other brain stimulation methods. In: Sadock BJ, Sadock VA, editors. *Kaplan Sadock Psychiatry*. 9th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2009. Part 31.34b.
206. Rodriguez-Martin JL, Barbanoj JM, Pérez V, Sacristan M. Transcranial magnetic stimulation for the treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2003, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD003387. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003387.
207. Rosa MA, Gattaz WF, Pascual-Leone A, Fregni F, Rosa MO, Rumi DO, et al. Comparison of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation and electroconvulsive therapy in unipolar non-psychotic refractory depression: a randomized, single-blind study. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2006 Dec;9(6):667-76.
208. Rossi S, De Capua A, Ulivelli M, Bartalini S, Falzarano V, Filippone G, et al. Effects of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on chronic tinnitus: a randomised, crossover, double blind, placebo controlled study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2007 Aug;78(8):857-63.
209. Rossini D, Lucca A, Zanardi R, Magri L, Smeraldi E. Transcranial magnetic stimulation in treatment-resistant depressed patients: a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Psychiatry Res*. 2005 Nov 15;137(1-2):1-10.
210. Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists. Position Statement 79. Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS). October 2013. Accessed Dec 21, 2017. Available at URL address: https://www.ranzcp.org/Files/Resources/College_Statements/Position_Statements/PS-79-PPC-Repetitive-Transcranial-Magnetic-Stimula.aspx
211. Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, Wisniewski SR, Nierenberg AA, Stewart JW, Warden D, et al. Acute and longer-term outcomes in depressed outpatients requiring one of several treatment steps: A STAR*D report. *Am J Psych*, 2006 163, 1905-1917.

212. Saltychev M, Laimi K. Effectiveness of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in patients with fibromyalgia: a meta-analysis. *Int J Rehabil Res.* 2017 Mar;40(1):11-18.
213. Sampson SM, Kung S, McAlpine DE, Sandroni P. The use of slow-frequency prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in refractory neuropathic pain. *J ECT.* 2011 Mar;27(1):33-7.
214. Schneider SA, Pleger B, Draganski B, Cordivari C, Rothwell JC, Bhatia KP, et al. Modulatory effects of 5Hz rTMS over the primary somatosensory cortex in focal dystonia--an fMRI-TMS study. *Mov Disord.* 2010 Jan 15;25(1):76-83.
215. Schonfeldt-Lecuona C, Gron G, Walter H, Buchler N, Wunderlich A, Spitzer M et al. Stereotaxic rTMS for the treatment of auditory hallucinations in schizophrenia. *Neuro Report.* 2004 July 19; 15(10): 1669-73.
216. Schutter DJ. Antidepressant efficacy of high-frequency transcranial magnetic stimulation over the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in double-blind sham-controlled designs: a meta-analysis. *Psychol Med.* 2009 Jan;39(1):65-75.
217. Schutter DJ, van Honk J. A framework for targeting alternative brain regions with repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in the treatment of depression. *J Psychiatry Neurosci.* 2005 Mar;30(2):91-7.
218. Shirota Y, Ohtsu H, Hamada M, Enomoto H, Ugawa Y; Research Committee on rTMS Treatment of Parkinson's Disease. Supplementary motor area stimulation for Parkinson disease: a randomized controlled study. *Neurology.* 2013 Apr 9;80(15):1400-5.
219. Slotema CW, Blom JD, Hoek HW, Sommer IE. Should we expand the toolbox of psychiatric treatment methods to include Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS)? A meta-analysis of the efficacy of rTMS in psychiatric disorders. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2010 Jul;71(7):873-84.
220. Slotema CW, Blom JD, de Weijer AD, Diederik KM, Goekoop R, Looijestijn J, et al. Can low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation really relieve medication-resistant auditory verbal hallucinations? Negative results from a large randomized controlled trial. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2011 Mar 1;69(5):450-6.
221. Sokhadze E, Baruth J, Tasman A, Mansoor M, Ramaswamy R, Sears L, et al. Low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) affects event-related potential measures of novelty processing in autism. *Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback.* 2010 Jun;35(2):147-61.
222. Soler MD, Kumru H, Pelayo R, Vidal J, Tormos JM, Fregni F, Navarro X, Pascual-Leone A. Effectiveness of transcranial direct current stimulation and visual illusion on neuropathic pain in spinal cord injury. *Brain.* 2010 Sep;133(9):2565-77.
223. Soleimani R, Jalali MM, Hasandokht T. Therapeutic impact of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) on tinnitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol.* 2016 Jul;273(7):1663-75. doi: 10.1007/s00405-015-3642-5.
224. Solvason HB, Husain M, Fitzgerald PB, Rosenquist P, McCall WV, Kimball J, et al. Improvement in quality of life with left prefrontal transcranial magnetic stimulation in patients with pharmacoresistant major depression: acute and six month outcomes. *Brain Stimul.* 2014 Mar-Apr;7(2):219-25.
225. Steeves T, McKinlay BD, Gorman D, Billingham L, Day L, Carroll A, et al. Canadian guidelines for the evidence-based treatment of tic disorders: behavioural therapy, deep brain stimulation, and transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Can J Psychiatry.* 2012 Mar;57(3):144-51.
226. Takahashi S, Vajkoczy P, Picht T. Navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation for mapping the motor cortex in patients with rolandic brain tumors. *Neurosurg Focus.* 2013 Apr;34(4):E3.

227. Takeuchi N, Tada T, Toshima M, Ikoma K. Correlation of motor function with transcallosal and intracortical inhibition after stroke. *J Rehabil Med*. 2010 Nov;42(10):962-6.
228. Tarapore PE, Tate MC, Findlay AM, Honma SM, Mizuiri D, Berger MS, Nagarajan SS. Preoperative multimodal motor mapping: a comparison of magnetoencephalography imaging, navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation, and direct cortical stimulation. *J Neurosurg*. 2012 Aug;117(2):354-62.
229. Taylor JJ, Borckardt JJ, George MS. Endogenous opioids mediate left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex rTMS-induced analgesia. *Pain*. 2012 Jun;153(6):1219-25.
230. Teepker M, Hötzel J, Timmesfeld N, Reis J, Mylius V, Haag A, et al. Low-frequency rTMS of the vertex in the prophylactic treatment of migraine. *Cephalalgia*. 2010 Feb;30(2):137-44.
231. Thase M, Connolly KR. Unipolar depression in adults: Treatment of resistant depression. Last updated Dec 9, 2014. In: UpToDate, Post TW (Ed), UpToDate, Waltham, MA.
232. Trevizol AP, Barros MD, Silva PO, Osuch E, Cordeiro Q, Shiozawa P. Transcranial magnetic stimulation for posttraumatic stress disorder: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Trends Psychiatry Psychother*. 2016 Jan-Mar;38(1):50-5.
233. Trevizol AP, Shiozawa P, Cook IA, Sato IA, Kaku CB, Guimarães FB, Sachdev P, Sarkhel S, Cordeiro Q. Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder: An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *J ECT*. 2016 Dec;32(4):262-266.
234. Triggs WJ, Ricciuti N, Ward HE, Cheng J, Bowers D, Goodman WK, et al. Right and left dorsolateral prefrontal rTMS treatment of refractory depression: a randomized, sham-controlled trial. *Psychiatry Res*. 2010 Aug 15;178(3):467-74.
235. Trivedi M. Unipolar depression in adults: treatment with antidepressant combinations. Last updated May 27, 2015. In: UpToDate, Post TW (Ed), UpToDate, Waltham, MA.
236. Tunkel DE, Bauer CA, Sun GH, Rosenfeld RM, Chandrasekhar SS, Cunningham ER Jr, Archer SM, Blakley BW, Carter JM, Granieri EC, Henry JA, Hollingsworth D, Khan FA, Mitchell S, Monfared A, Newman CW, Omole FS, Phillips CD, Robinson SK, Taw MB, Tyler RS, Waguespack R, Whamond EJ. Clinical practice guideline: tinnitus. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2014 Oct;151(2 Suppl):S1-S40.
237. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH) Guidance for industry and Food and Drug Administration staff. Class II special controls guidance document: repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) systems. Rockville (MD); 2011 Jul 26. Accessed Dec 21, 2017. Available at URL address: <http://www.fda.gov/RegulatoryInformation/Guidances/ucm265269.htm>
238. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH). Search Devices@FDA. NeuroStar® TMS System. Neuronetics. K083538. Date approved October 7, 2008. Correction March 23, 2011. Accessed Dec 21, 2017. Available at: <http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/devicesatfda/>
239. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH). Search Devices@FDA. NeuroStar® TMS System. Neuronetics. K133408. Date approved Mar 28, 2014. Accessed Dec 21, 2017. Available at: <http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/devicesatfda/>
240. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH). Search Devices@FDA. Brainsway Deep TMS System. K122288. Date approved January 7, 2013. Accessed Dec 21, 2017. Available at: <http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfPMN/pmnm.cfm>

241. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). FDA Executive Summary. Neurological Devices Panel of the Medical Devices Advisory Committee. January 26, 2007. Accessed Dec 21, 2017. Available at: http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/ac/07/briefing/2007-4273b1_01-FDAExecutiveSummary.pdf
242. Van den Eynde F, Claudino AM, Mogg A, Horrell L, Stahl D, Ribeiro W, et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation reduces cue-induced food craving in bulimic disorders. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2010 Apr 15;67(8):793-5.
243. Wagle Shukla A, Shuster JJ, Chung JW, Vaillancourt DE, Patten C, Ostrem J, Okun MS. Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS) Therapy in Parkinson Disease: A Meta-Analysis. *PM R*. 2016 Apr;8(4):356-366.
244. Wall CA, Croarkin PE, McClintock SM, Murphy LL, Bandel LA, Sim LA, Sampson SM. Neurocognitive effects of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in adolescents with major depressive disorder. *Front Psychiatry*. 2013 Dec 12;4:165. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2013.00165. eCollection 2013.
245. Weiduschat N, Thiel A, Rubi-Fessen I, Hartmann A, Kessler J, Merl P, et al. Effects of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in aphasic stroke: a randomized controlled pilot study. *Stroke*. 2011 Feb;42(2):409-15.
246. Wobrock T, Guse B, Cordes J, Wölwer W, Winterer G, Gaebel W, Langguth B, Landgrebe M, Eichhammer P, Frank E, Hajak G, Ohmann C, Verde PE, Rietschel M, Ahmed R1, Honer WG, Malchow B, Schneider-Axmann T, Falkai P, Hasan A. Left prefrontal high-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for the treatment of schizophrenia with predominant negative symptoms: a sham-controlled, randomized multicenter trial. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2015 Jun 1;77(11):979-88.
247. Wu SW, Maloney T, Gilbert DL, Dixon SG, Horn PS, Huddleston DA, Eaton K, Vannest J. Functional MRI-navigated repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation over supplementary motor area in chronic tic disorders. *Brain Stimul*. 2014 Mar-Apr;7(2):212-8. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2013.10.005.
248. Yan T, Xie Q, Zheng Z, Zou K, Wang L. Different frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) for posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD): A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2017 Jun;89:125-135.
249. Zheng CJ1, Liao WJ, Xia WG. Effect of combined low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation and virtual reality training on upper limb function in subacute stroke: a double-blind randomized controlled trail. *J Huazhong Univ Sci Technolog Med Sci*. 2015 Apr;35(2):248-54.
250. Zheng H, Zhang L, Li L, Liu P, Gao J, Liu X, et al. High-frequency rTMS treatment increases left prefrontal myo-inositol in young patients with treatment-resistant depression. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 2010 Oct 1;34(7):1189-95.
251. Zhou DD, Wang W, Wang GM, Li DQ, Kuang L. An updated meta-analysis: Short-term therapeutic effects of repeated transcranial magnetic stimulation in treating obsessive-compulsive disorder. *J Affect Disord*. 2017 Jun;215:187-196.
252. Zhu H, Lu Z, Jin Y, Duan X, Teng J, Duan D. Low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on Parkinson motor function: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Acta Neuropsychiatr*. 2015 Apr;27(2):82-9.

"Cigna Companies" refers to operating subsidiaries of Cigna Corporation. All products and services are provided exclusively by or through such operating subsidiaries, including Cigna Health and Life Insurance Company, Connecticut General Life Insurance Company, Cigna Behavioral Health, Inc., Cigna Health Management, Inc., QualCare, Inc., and HMO or service company subsidiaries of Cigna Health Corporation. The Cigna name, logo, and other Cigna marks are owned by Cigna Intellectual Property, Inc. © 2018 Cigna.